

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,901	08/07/2001	Kanji Takada	P21010	2415	
7055	7590 04/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			GHALI, I	GHALI, ISIS A D	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/831,901	TAKADA, KANJI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Isis Ghali	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) \	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-18, 20-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[]	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/	4			
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) additional add	ccepted or b) \square objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume		(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applic	ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	ionty documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.			
Attachmen		-	(070 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413) I Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	rademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged of applicant's request for extension of time and amendment, both filed 01/10/2005.

Claims 9 and 19 have been canceled. Claims 1-8, 10-18 and 20-25 are pending and included in the prosecution.

The following new ground of rejection is necessitated by applicant's amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to claim 8 has introduced new matter that has not been disclosed in the specification as originally filed, and that is the recitation of the protecting film layer composed of one water-insoluble polymer and a wax. The

specification disclosed at page 11, first full paragraph that the protecting film layer is made of water-insoluble polymer or wax.

The following rejection was discussed in details in the previous office action, and maintained for reasons of record:

3. Claims 1-8, 10-18, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the enablement rejection by arguing that the rejection does not clearly state what the Examiner considers to be non-enabled. Applicant submits that examples in the specification provide examples of both oral administration as well as surgery being utilized to place formulations in the duodenum through a cut in the stomach near the pylorus and the location of the formulation being subsequently determined. Applicant submits that Applicant's specification discloses Applicant's invention so that one having ordinary skill in the art can practice the invention without undue experimentation following the guidance provided in Applicant's specification. Thus, Applicant's specification describes that the adhesion-site controlling layer dissolves at a suitable site in the GI-tract and that it is made of PH dependent enteric polymer. Applicant notes that the adhesion site-controlling layer used in the present invention is composed of an enteric polymer as described in the specification. The adhesion site-controlling layer does not have a function of being attached to the mucous

Art Unit: 1615

membrane. A drug-carrying layer for containing a drug and an adhesive is attached next to the adhesion site-controlling layer. This drug-carrying layer has a function of being attached to the mucous membrane. It is also well known to those having ordinary skill in the pharmaceutical art that enteric polymers do not dissolve in water or acidic aqueous solutions. Applicant submits that sufficient guidance has been presented in the application so that one having ordinary skill in the art can practice Applicant's invention without undue experimentation. In particular, Applicant's have provided a thorough disclosure of formulations according to Applicant's invention, and one having ordinary skill in the art can practice Applicant's disclosed and claimed invention without undue experimentation.

In response to these argument, the examiner is pointing out to the breadth of claim 1 that is directed to oral formulation that can reads on tablet, while the specification and the examples are directed to patch consisting of three film layers that are laminated, page 3, first full paragraph; page 4, first full paragraph; page 12, first full paragraph, page 14, first full paragraph; examples 1-4. Therefore, the specification has enabled patch consisting of the three claimed layers, and has not enabled any other oral formulation. The specification provides guidance to oral patch film consisting of the three claimed layers. The state of the art recognized two-layered patch, one film layer containing the drug, and the adhesive layer made of enteric polymer, as disclosed by US 4,765,983. The sate of the art has not recognized the patch of three laminated layers as claimed. One having ordinary skill in the art will turn into trial and error to practice the present invention in absence of enough guidance from the specification and

the prior art in terms of oral formulations other than the patch that stick to the intestinal mucosa and consisting of the three laminated layers as claimed.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,901

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

IG

THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6